

Moufang symmetry VIII. Reconstruction of Moufang loops

Eugen Paal

Abstract

The reconstruction theorem for the Moufang loops is proved.

2000 MSC: 20N05

1 Introduction

In the present paper we prove the reconstruction theorem for the Moufang loops. We in part follow ideas presented in [3] and [5].

2 Moufang loops

A *Moufang loop* [4] (see also [2, 1, 6]) is a set G with a binary operation (multiplication) $\cdot : G \times G \rightarrow G$, denoted also by juxtaposition, so that the following three axioms are satisfied:

- 1) in equation $gh = k$, the knowledge of any two of $g, h, k \in G$ specifies the third one *uniquely*,
- 2) there is a distinguished element $e \in G$ with the property $eg = ge = g$ for all $g \in G$,
- 3) the *Moufang identity*

$$(gh)(kg) = g(hk)g$$

holds in G .

Recall that a set with a binary operation is called a *groupoid*. A groupoid G with axiom 1) is called a *quasigroup*. If axioms 1) and 2) are satisfied, the groupoid (quasigroup) G is called a *loop*. The element e in axiom 2) is called the *unit* (element) of the (Moufang) loop G .

3 Reconstruction Theorem

Theorem 3.1 (reconstruction). *Let G be a groupoid, $\mathfrak{T}$ be a group with the unit element $E \in \mathfrak{T}$, and (S, TP) be a triple of maps $S, T, P : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ such that:*

- 1) for all g in G we have

$$S_g T_g P_g = E \tag{3.1}$$

- 2) for all g in G there exists $\bar{g}$ in G such that

$$S_{\bar{g}} \stackrel{(a)}{=} S_g^{-1}, \quad T_{\bar{g}} \stackrel{(b)}{=} T_g^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

- 3) for all g, h in G relations

$$S_{\bar{g}h} \stackrel{(a)}{=} P_g S_h T_g, \quad T_{\bar{g}h} \stackrel{(b)}{=} S_g T_h P_g, \quad P_{\bar{g}h} \stackrel{(c)}{=} T_g P_h S_g \tag{3.3}$$

$$S_{h\bar{g}} \stackrel{(a)}{=} T_g S_h P_g, \quad T_{h\bar{g}} \stackrel{(b)}{=} P_g T_h S_g, \quad P_{h\bar{g}} \stackrel{(c)}{=} S_g P_h T_g \tag{3.4}$$

are satisfied in $\mathfrak{T}$,

4) from $S_g = S_h$ and $T_g = T_h$ it follows that $g = h$.

Then G is a Moufang loop. The unit element of G is $e \doteq g\bar{g} = \bar{g}g$, which does not depend on the choice of g in G , and the inverse element of g is $g^{-1} = \bar{g}$.

We prove this theorem step by step. In what follows, G denotes a groupoid.

4 Construction of unit and inverse elements

Proposition 4.1. *We have $\bar{\bar{g}} = g$ for all g in G .*

Proof. First calculate

$$S_{\bar{\bar{g}}} \stackrel{(3.2a)}{=} S_{\bar{g}}^{-1} = (S_{\bar{g}})^{-1} \stackrel{(3.2a)}{=} (S_g^{-1})^{-1} = S_g$$

In the same way $T_{\bar{\bar{g}}} = T_g$. Finally use assumption 4) of Theorem 3.1 to get the desired relation. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *For all g in G we have*

$$S_{\bar{g}g} = T_{\bar{g}g} = P_{\bar{g}g} = E \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. In (3.1a–c) and (3.2a–c) take $h = g$ and use assumption 1) of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Proposition 4.3. *We have*

$$P_{\bar{g}} = P_g^{-1}, \quad \forall g \in G \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. First calculate

$$E \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} P_{\bar{g}g} \stackrel{(3.4c)}{=} S_{\bar{g}}P_gT_{\bar{g}} \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} S^{-1}P_gT_g^{-1}$$

from which it follows that

$$P_{\bar{g}} = S_gT_g \quad (4.3)$$

Now calculate

$$\begin{aligned} P_gP_{\bar{g}} &= (T_g^{-1}S_g^{-1})(S_gT_g) = E \\ P_{\bar{g}}P_g &= (S_gT_g)(T_g^{-1}S_g^{-1}) = E \end{aligned}$$

which imply the desired relation. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *We have*

$$S_gT_g = T_gS_g, \quad \forall g \in G \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Calculate

$$S_gT_g \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} P_{\bar{g}} \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} T_{\bar{g}}^{-1}S_{\bar{g}}^{-1} \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} T_gS_g \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.5. *We have*

$$T_gP_g \stackrel{(a)}{=} P_gT_g, \quad P_gS_g \stackrel{(b)}{=} S_gP_g, \quad \forall g \in G \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} T_gP_g &= T_gT_g^{-1}S_g^{-1} = T_g^{-1}S_g^{-1}T_g = P_gT_g \\ P_gS_g &= P_gP_g^{-1}T_g^{-1} = P_g^{-1}T_g^{-1}P_g = S_gP_g \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.6. *We have*

$$S_{g\bar{g}} = T_{g\bar{g}} = P_{g\bar{g}} = E, \quad \forall g \in G \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} S_{g\bar{g}} &\stackrel{(3.4a)}{=} T_g S_g P_g \stackrel{(4.4)}{=} S_g T_g P_g \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} E \\ T_{g\bar{g}} &\stackrel{(3.4b)}{=} P_g T_g S_g \stackrel{(4.5a)}{=} S_g T_g P_g \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} E \\ P_{g\bar{g}} &\stackrel{(3.4c)}{=} S_g P_g T_g \stackrel{(4.5b)}{=} S_g T_g P_g \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} E \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.7. *We have*

$$g\bar{g} = \bar{g}g, \quad \forall g \in G \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Use

$$S_{\bar{g}g} \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} E \stackrel{(4.6)}{=} S_{g\bar{g}}, \quad T_{\bar{g}g} \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} E \stackrel{(4.6)}{=} T_{g\bar{g}}$$

with (3.2). $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Element $g\bar{g} = \bar{g}g$ of G does not depend on the choice of g in G .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $g\bar{g} = h\bar{h}$ for all g, h in G . The latter easily follows from

$$S_{g\bar{g}} = E = S_{h\bar{h}}, \quad S_{g\bar{g}} = E = S_{h\bar{h}} \quad \square$$

Definition 4.9. The uniquely defined element $g\bar{g} = \bar{g}g$ in G is denoted as $e \doteq g\bar{g} = \bar{g}g$.

Corollary 4.10. *We have*

$$S_e = T_e = P_e = E \quad (4.8)$$

Proposition 4.11. *The element e in G has the property that $\bar{e} = e$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E &= S_e^{-1} = S_{\bar{e}} = S_e \\ E &= T_e^{-1} = T_{\bar{e}} = T_e \end{aligned}$$

and use assumption 4) of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Theorem 4.12. *We have*

$$eg = ge = g, \quad \forall g \in G \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. First use (3.3) and (3.4) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{eg} &= P_e S_g T_e = S_g \\ T_{eg} &= S_e T_g T_e = T_g \\ S_{ge} &= T_e S_g P_e = S_g \\ T_{ge} &= P_e T_g S_e = T_g \end{aligned}$$

and use assumption 4) of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Definition 4.13 (unit and inverse element). We call e the *unit element* of G and $g^{-1} \doteq \bar{g}$ the *inverse element* of g in G .

5 Properties of inverse elements

Lemma 5.1. *For given g, h in G , element $g^{-1}h$ of G is a solution of equation $gx = h$, i.e*

$$g(g^{-1}h) = h$$

Proof. It is sufficient to check that

$$S_{g(g^{-1}h)} = S_h, \quad T_{g(g^{-1}h)} = T_h$$

Use (3.3) to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} S_{g(g^{-1}h)} &= P_{g^{-1}}S_{g^{-1}h}T_{g^{-1}} = P_{g^{-1}}P_gS_hT_gT_{g^{-1}} = S_h \\ T_{g(g^{-1}h)} &= S_{g^{-1}}T_{g^{-1}h}P_{g^{-1}} = S_{g^{-1}}S_gT_hP_gP_{g^{-1}} = T_h \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.2. *For given g, h in G equation $gx = h$ has the unique solution that coincides with $x = g^{-1}h$.*

Proof. We already know from lemma 5.1 that $x = g^{-1}h$ is a solution of equation $gx = h$. Let y be another solution, i.e $gy = h$. We show that $y = g^{-1}h$. We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} S_h &= S_{gy} = P^{-1}S_yT_g^{-1} \\ T_h &= T_{gy} = S^{-1}T_yP_g^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\begin{aligned} S_y &= P_gS_hT_g = S_{g^{-1}h} \\ T_y &= S_gT_hP_g = T_{g^{-1}h} \end{aligned}$$

It remains to use assumption 4) of Theorem 3.1 finish the proof. $\square$

By repeating the above proof we get

Theorem 5.3. *For given g, h in G equation $xg = h$ has the unique solution $x = hg^{-1}$.*

Corollary 5.4 ([2, 1]). *Groupoid G is a an inverse property loop (IP-loop).*

Theorem 5.5. *We have*

$$(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}, \quad \forall g, h \in G$$

Proof. It is sufficient to check that

$$S_{(gh)^{-1}} = S_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}, \quad T_{(gh)^{-1}} = T_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}$$

Calculate

$$S_{(gh)^{-1}} = S_{gh}^{-1} = (P_{g^{-1}}S_hT_{g^{-1}})^{-1} = T_gS_h^{-1}P_g = T_gS_{h^{-1}}P_g = S_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}$$

The second relation can be checked analogously. $\square$

6 Flexibility and triple closure

Theorem 6.1 (flexibility). *We have*

$$gh \cdot k = g \cdot hk, \quad \forall g, h \in G$$

Proof. It is sufficient to check that

$$S_{gh \cdot k} = S_{g \cdot hk}, \quad T_{gh \cdot k} = T_{g \cdot hk}$$

Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} S_{gh \cdot k} &= T_{g^{-1}} S_{gh} P_{h^{-1}} = T_{g^{-1}} P_{g^{-1}} S_h T_{g^{-1}} P_{h^{-1}} = S_g S_h S_g \\ T_{gh \cdot k} &= P_{g^{-1}} T_{gh} S_{h^{-1}} = P_{g^{-1}} S_{g^{-1}} T_h P_{g^{-1}} S_{h^{-1}} = T_g T_h T_g \\ S_{g \cdot hk} &= P_{g^{-1}} S_{hg} T_{h^{-1}} = P_{g^{-1}} T_{g^{-1}} S_h P_{g^{-1}} T_{h^{-1}} = S_g S_h S_g \\ T_{g \cdot hk} &= S_{g^{-1}} T_{hg} P_{h^{-1}} = S_{g^{-1}} P_{g^{-1}} T_h S_{g^{-1}} P_{h^{-1}} = T_g T_h T_g \end{aligned}$$

□

From proof of this Theorem follows

Theorem 6.2 (triple closure). *We have the triple closure relations:*

$$S_g S_h S_g = S_{ghk}, \quad T_g T_h T_g = T_{ghk}, \quad P_g P_h P_g = P_{ghk}, \quad \forall g, h \in G \quad (6.1)$$

7 Moufang identity

Theorem 7.1 (Moufang identity). *In G the Moufang identity is satisfied:*

$$(gh)(kg) = g(hk)g, \quad \forall g, h, k \in G$$

Proof. It is sufficient to check that

$$S_{(gh)(kg)} = S_{g(hk)g}, \quad T_{(gh)(kg)} = T_{g(hk)g}$$

Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(gh)(kg)} &= P_{(gh)^{-1}} S_{kg} T_{(gh)^{-1}} \\ &= P_{gh}^{-1} S_{kg} T_{gh}^{-1} \\ &= (T_{g^{-1}} P_y S_{g^{-1}})^{-1} S_{kg} (S_{g^{-1}} T_y P_{g^{-1}})^{-1} \\ &= S_g P_h^{-1} T_g S_{kg} P_g T_h^{-1} S_g \\ &= S_g P_h^{-1} S_{kg \cdot g^{-1}} T_h^{-1} S_g \\ &= S_g P_h^{-1} S_k T_h^{-1} S_g \\ &= S_g S_{hk} S_g \\ &= S_{g(hk)g} \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(gh)(kg)} &= S_{(gh)^{-1}} T_{kg} P_{(gh)^{-1}} \\ &= S_{gh}^{-1} T_{kg} P_{gh}^{-1} \\ &= (P_{g^{-1}} S_y T_{g^{-1}})^{-1} T_{kg} (T_{g^{-1}} P_y S_{g^{-1}})^{-1} \\ &= T_g S_h^{-1} P_g S_{kg} S_g P_h^{-1} T_g \\ &= T_g S_h^{-1} T_{kg \cdot g^{-1}} P_h^{-1} T_g \\ &= T_g S_h^{-1} T_k P_h^{-1} T_g \\ &= T_g S_{hk} T_g \\ &= T_{g(hk)g} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.1 has been proved.

Acknowledgement

Research was in part supported by the Estonian Science Foundation, Grant 6912.

References

- [1] V. D. Belousov. *Foundations of the Theory of Quasigroups and Loops*. Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (in Russian).
- [2] R. H. Bruck. *A Survey of Binary Systems*. Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1971.
- [3] S. Doro. Simple Moufang loops. *Math. Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc.* **83** (1978), 377-392
- [4] R. Moufang. Zur Struktur von Alternativkörpern. *Math. Ann.* **B110** (1935), 416-430.
- [5] E. Paal. Moufang symmetry VII. Moufang transformations. Preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.0242>, 2008.
- [6] H. Pflugfelder. *Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction*. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

Department of Mathematics
Tallinn University of Technology
Ehitajate tee 5, 19086 Tallinn, Estonia
E-mail: eugen.paal@ttu.ee